UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,237	02/24/2004	Yves Millou	1026-04	1050
	7590 07/08/200 DLA PIPER US LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE LIBERTY	- -		YU, GINA C	
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/785,237	MILLOU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GINA C. YU	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10 and 14-24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10 and 14-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of amendment and declaration filed on April 9, 2008.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 14-22 are pending. Claim rejections made under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) is withdrawn in view of Declaration filed on April 9, 2008. New rejections are made as below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 8, 14-16, 20, 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amrita ("Helichrysum italicum", 1999, XP002224497).

The Amrita online disclosure indicates that the essential oil of Helichrysum italicum, grown in high altitudes and dry, sunny spots in Mediterranean region, has been commercially available as of 1999. The reference teaches that the essential oil is distilled from the flower part, meeting instant claim 2. The reference also teaches that the essential oil is added to skin care products for skin-rejuvenating properties. See instant claim 8. The claimed methods of 14-16, 22-24 are obvious results of practicing the prior art as intended.

Since the prior art Helichrysum essential oil is obtained from the same source as the presently claimed invention, the prior art essential oil inherently contains the same components as required by instant claim 4. Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a *prima facie* case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. See *In re Best*, at 1255, 433. "When the PTO shows a sound basis

for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not." See <u>In re Spada</u> at 709, 1658.

In this case, applicant's declaration filed on April 9, 2008 indicates that the prior art essential oil contains 35.57 % of neryl acetate. This amount is close to "about 40%". The prior art teaches Helichrysum essential oil obtained from the same source by same method as claimed by applicant, and contains neryl acetate in an amount close to the claimed range. Examiner views that the there is no patentable distinction between the claimed composition and the prior art.

Claims 5, 6, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amrita ("Helichrysum italicum", 1999, XP002224497) as applied to claims 1-3, 8, 14-16, 20, 22-24 as above, and further in view of Spina (Derwent ACC. No. 1999-471299, English abstract of FR 2774585).

Amrita does not specifically mention the weight amount of the Helichrysum italicum oil in the cosmetic compositions.

Spina discloses a topical composition for scalp, which comprises Helichrysum italicum essential oil. See Abstract, Novelty; instant claims 1, 2, and 8. The abstract also teaches that the composition has anti-inflammatory, wound healing, and antiseptic effect. With respect to claim 5, the French patent indicates that 1.1-1.3 g of Helichrysum italicum essential oil is used in 30 g of total preparation, which is equivalent to 3.7-4.3 wt %. See '585, p. 1, line 21; p. 2, component 3; instant claim 5.

Given the general teaching of the Amrita to make a topical formulation comprising Helichrysum italicum oil, the skilled artisan would have been motivated to look to the prior Application/Control Number: 10/785,237 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

arts such as Spina for a more specific teachings on the amount of the active ingredient suitable for topical application. Furthermore, given the teaching of the specific functions of the essential oil (i.e., rejuvenation effect), the skilled artisan would have discovered a

workable range of the active ingredient by routine experimentations.

Claims 7 10, 19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Amrita as applied to claims 1-3, 8, 14-16, 20, 22-24 as above, and further in view of

Afirat et al. (US2002/0119954 A1).

Amrita does not teach the nanospheres formulation of instant claim 7 or the additives of

instant claim 10.

Afriat teaches cosmetic composition comprising ascorbic acid, and also teaches that

moisturizing active agents, in case of incompatibility with other materials, are incorporated

into nanospheres in order to isolate them from each other in the composition. See p. 3-4,

[0061]. Essential oils and vitamin E are taught. See instant claim 10.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present

invention to modify the teaching of Amrita by incorporating Helichrysum italicum essential oil

and/or vitamin E into nanospheres, as motivated by Afriat, because both inventions of

Amrita and Afriat are in cosmetic art, and Afriat teaches that it is well known in cosmetic art

to incorporate essential oils or vitamin E moisturizing agent in nanospheres for stability of

the composition and separation of the active ingredients during the storage. The skilled

artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing a stable

composition comprising Helichrysum italicum in nanospheres.

Oath/Declaration

Application/Control Number: 10/785,237 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Declaration filed on April 9, 2008 has been fully considered but does not place the application in allowable condition.

The declaration shows chromatography data which shows that the essential oil composition of Amrita contains 35.57 % of neryl acetate. While the data overcomes the anticipation rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), the claimed limitation "about 40 %" is still within an obvious range of the amount of neryl acetate in the prior art essential oil.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on April 9, 2008 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejection in part, and not persuasive in part.

Rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Applicant's arguments are moot as the rejection has been withdrawn in view of the 132 declaration as discussed above.

Rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Amrita and Spina

Applicant argues that Amrita does not disclose essential oil comprising 40-70% of neryl acetate by weight. As discussed above, the prior art contains 35.57 % of neryl acetate which is close to "about 40%".

Rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Amrita and Afriat

Applicant argues that Amrita does not disclose essential oil comprising 40-70% of neryl acetate by weight. As discussed above, the prior art contains 35.57 % of neryl acetate which is close to "about 40%".

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,237 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GINA C. YU whose telephone number is (571)272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:00AM until 5:30 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gina C. Yu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617